

**CLARIFICATIONS FOR THE 7TH M. S. RAMAIAH MEMORIAL NATIONAL MOOT COURT
COMPETITION.**

1. Should the participants construe the clarification given by the MOEF as mentioned at Pg.2 of the moot proposition to be final?

Ans: Yes, The press release mentioned in the moot problem should be considered for the purpose of the competition.

2. Does the impugned Rules extend to Intra-state sale of cattle for slaughter?

Ans: That should be interpreted from the original rules.

3. The original Rules i.e. The Prevention of Cruelty to Animals (Regulation of Livestock Markets) Rules, 2017 as notified by the Government of India do not explicitly talk about banning abusive and illegal transport of animals, instead the rules mainly ban the sale of cattle for slaughter in animal livestock markets which hasn't been even discussed or touched by the Press Note given in the fact sheet. As a result of this, there is a conflict between the two. Whether the original Rules should be adhered to verbatim or does the Press Note in the fact sheet implicitly adds a separate ban on the illegal and abusive transport of animals not envisaged by the Rules?

Ans: The Press note is neither an executive action nor a legislative one. It merely informs the public of the intentions of the ministry and the legislature.

4. The original Press Note also does not talk about illegal and abusive transportation which gives rise to confusion regarding the purpose of the Press Note given in the fact sheet i.e. whether we are to presume that the original Rules do talk about illegal transport of animals in explicit terms or should we stick to the original Rules as they are?

Ans: The proposition should be read with the Original Rules as they are.

5. *"The specific provisions apply only to animals which are bought and sold in the notified live stock markets and animals that are seized as case properties and do not cover other areas including the sale and purchase of meat."* what all comes under the other areas as given in the above-mentioned line.

Ans: The sentence is meant to eliminate conjecturing over applying the rules to areas other than live stock markets and animals that are seized as case properties, and not to include any such areas under the purview of the rules.

6. At the end of the problem you have given note that Indistan is identical to India and in the problem you have mentioned 3 states Malanadu ,Indivarpuri and Kamal pradesh we seek to know on which States these fictional states are based upon?

Ans: No clarification required.

7. The proposition says the Constitution and Laws of India are in *pari meteria* with those of Republic of India. Does this mean that the economic conditions, statistical data and other socio economic conditions are diferent and out of scope ?

Ans: All the socio-economic conditions are the same as that of India.

